$\therefore$ COLONIAL U JOHN U, I $Z_{2} \frac{P G 1}{T D-1507}$ (SON OF RICHARD Of
 A folm $U$ mor the son of Richand $u$ and the gindeon $\%$ Michael $U$ i.
"fohm the son of Richand U, phokably wor the fokn liwis in. New Kent lounty, $V$, , duving the early sementeen lundreds. Nem Kent lier girt noith if sury county, ouon The fames Rives. The polloung entrer in the ARegaster st Peter's. Paush church, in that county, are strong indecitions that such mas a fort:

Sezaluth, dau of JNO. Upshem, hayst. ye 250EC NO1.
Sarah, dant, if JNO. Upostem shart, ye 750 OT 1707
$T$ rypena, dant. y JNO Upahean $\}$ hapt. 13 APR 1709
Jolin upshiere, f1., depasted thic life ye 13 APR 1113 .
1t in posille. That there are otter recondsin Nemtent County, ta which mould dean syo thir matter."
Be fohn next spreaved in sury Co Va unhen he innentonicl the estate of Wiilhtion Atunviua on 19JOL 1721 Lsury Co VIA-metr米 Admes $1712-1730, \mathrm{pt} 2, \mathrm{pg} .1501$.
cofohn $U$. \& uife Sleanos untnencel the nill of fohm Voughn on 9FEB 1936 (9FEB 1136 ?? RPM)-veconded 27 JUL 1241 Bk 4/355 (chapmariz Will, bee of Unight co, VA.)
D: johr U Har ganted 100 orrer lard for 10 shillinge in Is le of wnight Co VA 125AN 1D45. "On the sw. lide of the Great Smang, formerly Heathi, down nasiaic. comser jpaid ruangs
(VA Rand faten $B k$ 18/611). E. In 1 I 44 Southampton $C 0, V a$ war formed from past of Dile ofllenjhit co and the $1>49$ grant to fornll fell int southangton co. In that sance yon joh and nife Elyabuth $U$ of south ampiton
 for $f 1555$ ". - wit famer killey, Temotery Shanp, Burwell Alkision signied fohn moin ${ }^{2} h^{u}$, slyelbeth $U$.
 mor founel in 1720 prom Prince George, and to which suo oddel parte of Isle of Wright and suny Countre in 11332 .
[Notei the index $g(28)$ shover thic fohm onpag 23 hint 9 cannant find thir puitiula folrnon $p 23$. RPQ

$$
(28, \operatorname{Pg} 20 \$ 21)
$$

COLONIALU JOHN I U PAEEL XID－150 （son of Reliand
（2）See Recond olitanided ly RPU on 23 FEB 1978 of Suny co VA） $V$ int Nat＇l soc Colcenal Dame $X V / 1$ centuy offre
 mechael 4 were among thore pis $4, t$ for Militin for years 1756－1758．
（13）Py 13－Index to taul patest 1623－1724
a．famer $u$ ．bk ig Pg 372， 1170 wr，date 1734－umm．Gorch Gon ${ }_{x I D-150}^{\text {ancome }} \in$ b．Fohn 4 个Bh 24, Pg 611 － 100 over，dote 1746
c．Jomer 4 ，son 1153，no $32 \$_{y} 202,400$ orser．
 July $19,1 \geqslant 21$ ．Fohn $4 \uparrow$ tosh theinnentory and agguasement of the estate of Mulhaim Aunwent sypned fohn nm Helluuch
（D）Py 14．Southamgiton Co D．B．1．P911．12JUL1249 fohm 4 and Elyaheth hir unfe of Sonthangtoen， co VA to Amliroze Griñand．g the same Lounty 100 arrer in sonsthamptan 10 on the nouth side of Threas creehcard the wert siche of the Great Smang．－Bound：welliaim theath sugnil fohm + H $U$ ；Eljaheth $+u$ ． uit：fame pidley，Tumothy sharp，Burnet $x$ Athinson．（Note of searcher：Thir mas interestirg to me for sevoral searon，et mould appeor that itm folm 11 uar the same enho ogrnaised the estite $X$ culliam thenneintt in suny Co 1 13ス1，as he uscd the same mark．Jury＋Southanyton one adysun countre，the in aho the same man unho pitented 100 ourer in lre fulught Co in 1746 ．Put Booh24， Pg 611，The land hm the same haundone．Sonth－ ongton $c_{0}$ uor cut from isle of Uenght．）
（大）Pg 12－Brunsuich Lo VA melition unda capt Goodnch $=$ muchaiel $U$ ，nulhain $u$, goln $U$ ．

COLONIALU JOHN UI PAEE 3 XID-150
(3) Sec Dg 31 g th sena $\Sigma$ Bruke (Son of Bichoid uysung co VA)
A." The famer U (XID-155) anhodied in Brunsuch ( $C_{0}, V A$ ) in 1784 seem io he the son f gohn UT XID-150) uhomod Elizchet A Anncintand Therefore sac the patenter of land cen whit Dah Crech 1753 in Brunswich." tir sore neu fohm, Jamer + Hermon (Harmon).
B. Py 38"N21 suny co VA. Accountr for istate of uulerain Hunncit mere recorded ly golmu介(XID-150), $1950 \angle 1221$, John 4 liniel first in the sbe $f$ Unght $C o V A$ and uhen souttiangton wor fornoed in 1749 he reor ttoce. He had and Elyalieth Hinnicict, uidoin of whliaim sunmint, hased on the foct, $y$ hir octing odm, for the estato of cullemm it. $9 x$ in ponille that fohn ind an slizaliest stunnicist lint she wor not the uidoin of uehaim hint the dan on neice of welloin stunmint who dred in 1218/19, The midom seem much to old of havend folm $u$."
C.Pg 38 - EAll the follomeing fohmu $u$ asumed is he XTD-150-RDAU 1753-Segt coust-william Chith aget fohn $U$, degndx. Aot. agoest estate $\eta$ legt in amt. of $-\cdots$. pounds and coste sehern -
alde next count able next count. 1753 Non Conit. uelhais clench, whlham \& Thomar mennatt agnst johnu.
1754 Jan Court-Aot effect amounting t one shlling and 11 pence. fohm 4 ordered ot pay same o perfos 1754-Feb Count - Theoghilur Freld agnst fahn U, Rept. 1954-May Court - Judjement rendred agnst John 4 dept. 1755-1756- Gohm Morlin agot. Gohn a deft. 1356-Aprit couct-John modin agnit fohn 4 deyt. the sherff of the county homing returnet an attachment avooled agount the sefter estate to he hasexembed the same is the hante of Gamec $A(X I D-155)$ and sermmoned him a gavvihce Thir Day came the Pet. by hir A Atonney and
colonjal y
JOHN UIPAGE 4 XID- 150)

the deft, not agreasling the sd fame 4 came ints court and declased on oath that he had three baltter, 1 ad paing hamer, one ald razor and a spoon of the sd deft in hir possession. Wherengon. it in conshbed by the count that the plt, neconer agonstisd deft. Ten pounde six shilhigs and anehalf penny for hir damager sustained, Itic orderl the shenff to sell abtoched. Effert oce \& low and return an account the sext coust."
(4) see $2050 L 1929$ st julia Francerll io RPM She showr folm 4 and elyuherthtunventt. Hed 11958
(5) See $\rightarrow F E B 1980$ order from odie mane $l l$ for UB she gines dater for folm U (XID-150) as 1678-1756. For taine heing $P$ am arsepity the 16 \$8 dato-RP4
(6)See chart Rec'd 17JVL 1981 by RPU from Fred Mpton Us, He shous falm $U$ XID-150 as b-1628d 1758 and slizaheor Hennicatt.
(1) See It ZAUE1584 Ray mhiteg Do ROM

Solm 4 p mas in Brunsurck $\operatorname{Co}$, VA an eacly or manch 1751 at which time he mas sued for a delet. Apppasently he anined in Buenrewich to, VA almost uninediately aftet he sold hicland in Soutt bamptor, Lo, UA un 1749 .
(8) Capy id Ray white fo 11 Aver 7
(9) See It 13SEP 1984 Ray whito, is to RP1
fohn $U$ who hecame a Quaber $\alpha d \cap 6 /$ (NC) Cannat he The folm 4 who war sued in Brunsuich 10 , VA in 1765 . Ane of thems is probubly the same er fohm U of Soubthamipton Lo, VA who moved to Brwnsurch Co, VA - luct which one $x$ whe ir the a dhar? 92 the Mem Kent-co, folm Ur, the same or eitter one If Nem kent Lolve ll war same or the 1865 delitor he mould hase hon $85-10$.
colonial u
JOHN U. I PAGE 5
(10) See th 8 MAy 1984 martia minain A nderson do ROY Lelmu $I_{\uparrow} \uparrow$ b $1700(\operatorname{sun} y \cos V A) d<1756$. He mar in bressunch co, VA in 1753 and in Nem $K$ ent co, VA in 1713
Spelling for dan $=$ TY PHENA not TRYPENA qliyghel Nunncut mor 2 nd uife of folenl Ir
(11) See th 22 mry 1987 Olimer uningato $u$ D RPU-coy Tillmans $u$ sectian
A. Sung co, VA wilh, Deede 1715-1730. Port 2, Page 35019 JUL 1721 folm U tooh the imentory and apprasemint of the stote of uultians Hunnicutt. sugned fohn tiz uphench (Same item as (1) B)
B. Southampten Co, V'A Deed Book 1, By 11 $1250 C 1749$ (Same on (1) E) folm $4 \uparrow$ and shigaheth, hic unfe, of southarupton $L_{0}$, VA D Ambrose Erinsard of the same county. 100 ocve in souttrampten Co, VA on the norl side $f$ etruee creehs and the mest side $f$ the oneat smamp. Bound: wiharm Heabt. suqned fohn +1t uphlunds
slyabets + upluwids
shap, ousnett $x$ Atk
witners: farmes Ridley, Timothy slyahp, Ousne ot $x$ Atkinson (Thir in interesting io me for seneral reasonc. It would appear that thes folen $4 \hat{n}$ mas the same mhoopprased the estats of melhom tanmient in sury $C 0, V A$ is 1321 as he used the same mach, suny and southampton ore afjoining counties. He is also the same man who patentex 100 over un isle f wight co, VA in 1346, pat. book 24, Pg 611. The land har the same lioundauce. southamption co wor cut from lsle of Uiiht)
(1i) fo see 15 $250 \subset 11993$ Jessie teona fee of $N$ Pes
"Johm 4, In 61678 (VA) \& $1758(V A)$ mo 1718 (VA) Sleyahedt Itunnicut " [Not-T The sauce of ther inforenatres nat shald - RPM]
 bored on hic reading of the entries from the Brafile

A. Entry 2 A: This reference goes on to say that John, william, and Michael were each paid 5 l (presumably 5 shillings, 1 pence). For a person with some knowledge of the economics of the times, these amounts could indicate the extent of their involvement.
B. Entry 3 B : Statement that Elizabeth may have been a daughter or niece of William Hunnicut might be modified because of a sentence contained in an article entitled "The Hunnicuts of Prince George" contained in William and Mary College Quarterly, volume 27, 1918-1919. The sentence reads "William Hunnicutt left no issue."

The Vestry Book and Register of St Peter's Parish in New Kent County has been published two times, in 1905 by the National Society of Colonial Dames of America and in 1937 by the Library Board in Richmond, Virginia. The 1905 book contains the following entries:
C. At a vestry held for St. Peter's pish in New Kent County ye 12 octob'r l705:

To Mr Upshere p acc't \& keeping a bastard child 12 mos at ye 12 of Nov'r \& Clothes.
D. At a Vestry held for St. Peter's Pish New Kent County, October ye lith, l706:

To Jo. Upshear for keeping a p'ish child l year
$E \quad$ There is no entry in the biofile from Olive A Morgan's "The Unchurch Story." This book at the top of page 26 contains a discussion and statement that does not appear in any of the entries in the biofile: After 1695, "land could be purchased by paying five shillings for each 50 acres and paying Quit Rents to the King of England. The people did not own the land outright until after the Revolution. Thus John Upcherd was on the Quit Rents Rolls for 60 acres in l704."
(13)
from It AAPR 1992 Tmex Newman $l l$ D $R$ RD
Page 13 of the last issue of $u B$ gives the birth date of John U. I as 1698. In Volume I of UB on page 9 and again on page 61, his birth date is given as 1678.

These are the only sources that I have for John's birth date. Since he had a child baptised in 1701 and was on the quit rent rolls for 60 acres in 1704 , I'm assuming that the birth date of 1678 is correct.

TNOTE: marts, LORy of UB Voe 13 No 1 po is has been changed from 1698 to 1678- RPG)
(14) It JOIN U,I PG? XED. 150
(14) Items $D 5,6,7$ in Section $D$ of martha Locus 4 - liter record- 18 mi 9y1968
$R E$ : SONS OF MICHAEL $U$, I
SONS) OF MICHAEL UPCHURCH
1684, 5 June - Widow Unchurch for Michael Unchurch. A List of Withabies belonging to Lawns Creek Church taken ye 5 th day of June 1684.
1687, Militia, Surry County, Va.
1691, 19 January. Robert Reynolds and Roger Delk entering security of Michael Unchurch's due performance of his administration on the estate of Frances Unchurch, his mother. A certificate of administration was granted.
1691, I March. Appeared in Court this day Michael Unchurch and made Oath that the within specified contents is a true and perfect inventory of the estate of Frances Unchurch, deceased. Book 4. page 248. W. Edwards, Clerk of Court.
1694, 10 June - Michael Unchurch and Ri. Unchurch on the Titining List for Surrey County, Va.
After this Michael disappears, but no death, settlement of estate or anything more concerning him has ever been round.
1694, 10 June - Richard Unchurch on the Tithing List with Michael. $\rightarrow$ (5)
Michael may have had another son, John, es a John Jr. has been found in New Kent County in 1701. This Join Jr. died in 1713. He may have been a son of John and a grandson of Michael I. His father may have been dead and he was pales junior because John, the son of Richard was living.


1694, Ri. Unchurch listed on the Tithable List of Sorry County with Michael.
1699, 9 June - Richard Unchurch is listed with Robert Reynolds on the Tithable List.
When Kicinael I died in 1681 Robert Reynolds assisted the widow Frances in the settlement of the estate. When Frames ied in $16{ }^{\prime} 90$ Robert Reynolds assisted Michael II in the settlement of Frances's estate.

After 1699 Richard is no longer found on the Tithable List.
Did Richard marry a Reynolds or was Frances a Reynolds? Did Richard marry a Reynolds or was Frances a Reynolds? Richard must have dea shortly after the 1699 list. i ie seems to have left three sons - James, Johñand William. $\rightarrow$ RPM RECORDS $A G R E E$ RPU SHows: (KID's)
SUPPORTED BY
USE OF (1)??-RPU
I. MICHAEL U, I

C. Jots $u$, II ( 2.062 ) (6)

I RPA records cory then form $U$, II as a $S \%$ ION U, TA
\& a GS/O RILIAARD $U, I$. More analyir is needed. The logic of (6) Cancer some ruenght - RPC 27 FEB 2004]
 in her Buofule and his response of 265 AN 2010 is hic Beofile
Re: the following array of people: mae hor paragraphs
 From $\sim B$. Richard $U, 31658-11700$

If whin are record belous.
In PD, E, F shown heloue she reports that nememdence shove mrihace 4 , II le helaiten ChaR
$\therefore$ 1. farm U, I $1678-1758$ $1050 N 1662$ and 105 UN 1663 - lash Shan whet reports - Based an

D Now comes the interesting info.... on the 1679 Tithable record for Lawne's Creek Parish of Surry County, Virginia, Michael Unchurch is listed.... and SO IS HIS SON, MICHAEL. I have a copy of the actual record in my hand as I speak... and it's quite clear and easy to read: "Michel Unchurch \& Michel his Son..... 2"
$\approx \quad$ This really excites me. Now we can see that he'd reached 16 by 1679, making him born after June 10th, 1662 and before June 10th, 1663.

F Could it possibly be that since Michel II was born earlier than thought, that he might be the father of John, William, and James, instead of Richard (abs. 1658-1700)? When we thought he wasn't old enough to have fathered John (born 1678), it made sense that Richard was the father. But now we can see that Michael II would have been abs 17 years of age.
E. I've never really studied the two sons of Michael (1624-1681) so I'm not sure of the validity of whether Richard Unchurch (1658-1700) or now this son Michael would have been the father of John, William, and James.

What do you think?
C. First though, let me give you this from Belle Lewter West's book:

Michael Upchurch II, in Surrey Co., Va., seems to have been the youngest son of Michael and Frances UPCHURCH. He was first mentioned in records as a tithable at Lawne's Creek Parish Church by his mother, FRANCES, on the 12th of June 1683 , indicating that he had just reached the age of sixteen....

So we've all used that information about Michael II as nothing else had ever surfaced to make us think differently. Ms. West's findings suggested that Michael II was born about 1667.
$X$. This is absolutely fascinating, and you could be onto something really significant. It will need to be thought out, but Michael II would've been really young, still. I notice that John apparently had a daughter, Elizabeth, born 25 Dec 1701 - which (usually) would mean having been born ca 1670-1675, and there were at least two boys, John Jr. and James, for whom I do not have a birthdate, and if they were before Elizabeth, then John's marriage might have been a little earlier (or not). In any event, this would imply that Michael II would need to have been born not much later than 1650ish.
thu e she ashe if
muchicel 4 , II could he the Falter of
form $H_{3} 工, \sin U, I$
\& farmer $U, I$
$(\sec G)-9 \leadsto C$ $m a r$ stour thant west atreught muchail U, II wAlt 1667 - ROV'2 response is gun $\sin x$
TRPU comments: I will a gee that the dater for the fuss 4 generations need $D$ be ne vested and any new data analysed units Changer in parentage if needed. However, $90^{\circ}$ agree unto Roy that even of michael $4, I$ war horn 1662 he mould
have been only aleut 16 when fath $A, I$ war how in 1136 -Lend not level fates son. NDTE: Mae is writer a hook on muchad H. I RPY 2010
(16) Input from Ray NMN culute, gremait 830L 200$\rangle$ ot $R P$

Subject: geneal:
room: "Roy White" \ll
Site: Sun. Jul 08. 2007 1:45 pm
To: [phil@upchurchstory.com](mailto:phil@upchurchstory.com)


IN RE JOHN UPCHURCH (SON OF RICHARD; GR-SON OF MICHAEL:
WHAT DO YOU HAVE AMONG YOUR RECORDS ABOUT HIŜWIFE? I HAVE HER DOWN AS "ElIZABETH". FURTHERMORE, I ALSO HAVE A REFERENCE TO THE FACT THAT JOHN UPCHी DEALT WITH THE estate of John HunNicut - presumably because his wife, Elizabeth, was the widow of SAID JOHN HUNNICUT. AS YOU WILL KNOW, THAT WAS A COMMON PROCEDURE. ARE THERE ANY INDICATIONS THAT JOHN UPCHURCHTMIGHT HAVE HAD MORE THAN THE ONE WII?

AT ANY RATE, I FOUND SOMETHING THAT WAS SENT TO ME YEARS AGO TO THE EFFECT THAT SAID Elizabeth was Elizabeth Warren, diu of Thomas Warren and Elizabeth spencer.

ON ANOTHER INTERESTING NOTE, THIS ELIZABETH SPENCER WAS MY ANCESTRESS VIA ANOTHER LINE THROUGH A DAUGHTER SHE HAD BY HER PREVIOUS MARRIAGE TO ROBERT SHEPPARD. BUT, THAT'S ANOTHER STORY.

ROY WHITE
CROSS FILE: ${ }^{\triangle}{ }_{\triangle}$ TON $u, \Gamma_{1} x$ ELD -150
aston hundicut
$\triangle$ ELIZABETH WARREN D/ THOMAS WARREN A ELI2AASETIA SPENCER
(17) See Its 15.SEP 2000 Kermis michael $U$ A $\mathrm{BPCl}-\operatorname{Pg} 2$
 HAD A DAUGHTER.

I: michael $4, I$ A. Pochard 4, I

1. Gatun $4, I$ see 6 Below
2. JOHN UPCHURCH. 1 was born 1678 in Surry Co., VA, and died Abs. 1756 in VA. He married Elizabeth Hunnicutt 1718 in VA. She was born $\frac{1682}{\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{2}}}$ in VA. Notes for JOHN UPCHURCH:
** John, b. aby 1698, was living in New Kent Co.,Virginia, in the early years of 1700. The name UPCHURCH was spelled as it sounded to the various clerks writing out the documents involved in daily living. JOHN UPSFERD Aras on the Quit Rents Roils for 60 acres in 1704. The names of his children were on the St. Paul-St. Peter's Registry of New Kent. The Death of his son was recorded in 1713. Th final entry for johnituas made in 1717. John' ${ }^{\text {sem ems to }}$ have been married twice. The name of his first wife is unknown. Hereturned to Surry around the year of 1718. - Jeanene Standifier Carver

* NOTE: NEED EVIDENCE FOR THIS DATE
 (xrD-150) and that he was b 1678-not 1698-RP4 JUL 2007


## HISTORICAL TIDBIT

## The French and Indian War - The Seven Years War

Three countries laid claim to the lands of the New World: England, Spain, and France. Both England and France claimed the land west of the Appalachian Mountaìis land inhabited by Native Améticans.

More immigrants arrivedind émerica, and as colonies grew the need for more land 盾识 to colonists moving westward onto lands claime dity both England and France. The colonists were eager to convert this fertile land into farms, but France and England each denied the other access so the struggle for ownership soon began. Native Americans occapying the lands became involved with some choosing to side with the English, others allied with the French


 from Englishithreats in 7754 G George Washingtona and asmall force of Vig inia militiamen marched ot the Oho Country to drive the French fidm the region. When thefr Fort Necessity was overwhelmed by the French on July 3,1754, the actual conflict began, though England didn't officially declare war until 1756 . Thus began the French and Indian War.

France scored many victories with the assistance of the Native American allies. These natives, concerned that the British were pushing further west and would eventually drive them away from their lands, were formidable fighters in 1757 the tide began to thim though infavor of the English. Over the next few years, British soldiers, with colomial assistance, captured Fort Duquesne, Fort Niagara, Quebec, and Montreal. England now controlled France's American possessions. The French and Indian War continued in Europe, Afica, and Ásia for three more years, until in 763 , the Treaty of Paris was signed formally ending the war. France had lost allofits colonies in North A Americato


Whathe andof the what hated had bencreated
 taxes on the colones, sinee after all tiey whe the ones Hio benefitted from the wat So taxes were inposed on several items, including legal documents and team By 1765, protests of the taxes and stamps had begun to mount - anunrest that culminated in the Revolutionary War.

## Upchurches in the French and Indian War

During the French and Indian War, colonists were recruited for militias. Colonists were urged, and at times directed, to provide the troops with food, shelter, and aid. An act was passed whereby these supporters could receive payment for actual service and defence and protection of the frontier of this colony. Three Upchurches were listed September 1758, as receiving payment of $5 £$ each.
Most likely the John Upchurch listed would have been James' son, instead of John, I, who was about 80 years of age, and who died that same year. The information is being given as the Upchurches listed are definitely our relatives and it's interesting to know of their involvement in the French and Indian War.

| Richard Gower | Jesse Brown | Edward Freeman |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| William Parsons | William Parham | Henry Jackson |
| Isham Harris | Nicholas Fennell | Charles Gunter |
| William Bryan | Abram Martin | John Carlile |
| James Hargrove | William Martin | William Edwards |
| Robert Wall | John Ramsey | Elias Fowler |
| Charles Wall | John Calton | - John Barmet |
| Peter Jackson | Richard Ramsey | Richard Dobbins |
| Frederick Glover | Benjamin Simpson | Joel Smith |
| Thomas Mannin | Thomas Connally | Daniel Collier |
| Mark Jackson | Thomas Haulcom | Samuel Russel |
| Daniel Wall | Edward Tatum | Robert Gee, jun. |
| Robert Peebles | Moses Tomerlin | William Cooke |
| William Foster | Samuel Jackson | William Gaultney |
| Zebulon Lewis | Peter Freeman | Sampson Moseley |

George Walton, jun.<br>William Randolph<br>William Ledbetter<br>Samuel Sexton<br>William Ledbetter, jun.<br>Nathan Harris<br>Robert Gaultney<br>Thomas Walton<br>John Moore<br>Robert Lanier<br>Thomas Denton<br>William Denton<br>Nathaniel Steed<br>Edmonds Barker<br>Lewis Barker

| David Moss |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| James Linch |  |
| David Adam |  |
| Roger Tilman |  |
| William Upchurch | $\Delta$ |
| Thomas Nance |  |
| Thomas Ravenscrop |  |
| Michael Upchurch | $\Delta$ |
| John Upchurch | $\Delta$ |
| George Wall |  |
| Thomas Nance |  |
| John Nailes |  |
| Francis Mitchell |  |
| Tobias Moore |  |
| Robert Nance |  |

## 

1 Michael Upchurch I 1620-1681
.... +Frances? 1630-1690/91
........ 2 Richard Upchurch 1658-1700 +Spouse Unknown 1658-
................... 3 John Upchurch I $\uparrow$ 1678-1758

| +........................ | +Eleanor ? |
| :--- | :--- |
| .................... | 2nd Wife of John Upchurch I: |

........................ +Elizabeth Hunnicut 1682 -

*There is a possibility that John was married to Eleanor before his marriage to Elizabeth Hunnicut. More on this on next pages.
RPU NOTE: PAEES 77-100 CONTAIN INFORMATION ON:
$\triangle$ NEW KENT CO, VA
$\triangle$ ST. PETER'S CIUURCI OF NEW KENT CO,VA
$\triangle$ BRUNSWICKCO, VA

## Timeline for John Upchurch I

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approx. } \\ & \text { Age } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1678 |  | John born in Surry County, Virginia |
| 1698 | 20 | John marries Elizabeth Hunnicut |
| 1698 | 20 | Thought to be year John left Surry County, Virginia |
| <1701 | <23 | Son John, Jr., is born |
| 1701 | 23 | John known to be living in St. Peter's Parish, year of arrival uncertain |
| December 25, 1701 | 23 | Daughter Elizabeth baptized (year she was born?) |
| October 27, 1703 | 25 | Receives payment of tobacco for labor on St. Peter's Church |
| 1704 | 26 | John Upsherd on Quit Rents Rolls for 60 acres in New Kent County |
| October 15, 1704 | 26 | Daughter Sarah baptized (year she was born?) |
| October 15, 1705 | 27 | John Upshere in St. Peter's Parish, keeping a bastard child |
| October 11, 1706 | 28 | Jno. Upshear in St. Peter's Parish, New Kent County, keeping a p'ish child |
| April 13, 1707 | 29 | Daughter Tryphena baptized (year she was born?) |
| August 10, 1713 | 35 | Son John, Jr., dies |
| 1717 | 39 | Levy of 53 pounds of tobacco to Capt Scott, New Kent County |
| 1718 | 40 | Believed to be year John returned to Surry County, Virginia |
| July 19, 1721 | 43 | John recorded the estate inventory for William Hunnicutt |
| 1724 | 46 | Son James, III, born |
| February 9, 1736 | 58 | John witnessed the will of John Vaughan. |
| January 12, 1746 | 68 | John purchases 100 acres in Isle of Wight County, Virginia |
| July 12, 1749 | 71 | John sold 100 acres in Southampton County, Virginia |
| June 1, 1750 | 72 | Mentioned as owning adjoining land in land patent to Joseph Tharp |
| March 1751 | 73 | John sued for a debt |
| July 20, 1753 | 75 | Mentioned as father of James Upchurch in land grant to James |
| November 1753 | 75 | Defendant in suit filed by William Clinch, et al |
| January 1754 | 76 | Ordered to pay plaintiffs one shilling and eleven pence |
| February 1754 | 76 | Defendant in suit filed by Theophilus Field |
| May 1754 | 76 | Judgement ordered against John |
| 1755-1756 | 78 | John sued by John Maclin |
| April 1756 | 78 | Son James itemized property of John who was deeply in debt |
| September 1758 | 80 | Reimbursed in Brunswick County for militia provisions; may not be John I |
| 1758 | 80 | John died in New Brunswick County, Virginia |

## John UpChurch I

Born 1678 Surgy County, Virginia
Died 1758 Brunswick County, Virginia

1st wife: Eleanor (?) (see below)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 2nd wife: } & \text { Elizabeth Hunnicut } \\ \text { Born } & 1682 \text { Virginia } \\ \text { Married } & \text { abs } 1698 \text { Virginia } \\ \text { Died } & \end{array}$


John is quite a common name among Upchurches especially in the first five generations. By 1981, at least 234 John Upchurches had been identified within our American Upchurch line. R06066a

John is believed to be the oldest son of Richard though nothing in the records such as a will or land record, can verify this relationship. However, just the nature of John's age and approximation to Richard and Michael Upchurch are strong indicators that he would have been Richard I's son.

John's association with Surry, New Kent, Isle of Wight, and Brunswick Counties furthers our belief that John was indeed Richard's son, and Michael's grandson.

## Surry County, Virginia

John was born and grew up in Surry County, Virginia, just south of the James River, in an area known as Lawne's Creek Parish. There wasn't much to be found about his early years though his adult years produced a phenomenal paper path!

## Wives Eleanor and Elizabeth

Some researchers claim John was married to Eleanor, yet there seem to be no records indicating or pointing to that as a fact. There was an Ellinor mentioned in John Vaughn's will listing John Upchurch as the administrator; however, there is nothing to substantiate that John was married to her. John's possible marriage is being listed here mainly because previous researchers reported that they were married, yet no proof - such as a record - seems to be cited.

It is believed that Elizabeth Hunnicut was John's second wife, and was either the widow of William Hunnicut, or, more likely, his niece. R060763

## New Kent County, Virginia, 16 to 25 years

John left Lawne's Creek Parish about 1698 and possibly ventured to another area before settling in New Kent County. That concept is based on his first son, John Jr., likely being born before they arrived in New Kent County.

Lying just north of Curry County, New Kent County is located on the north side of the James River. It is here, in the St. Peter's Parish area that we pick up John's trail. John likely arrived before Christmas of 1701 as records show a daughter was baptized in St. Peter's Parish in December that year (on next page).

John was born
and grew up in
Surgy County,
Virginia,

## St. Peter's Church of New Kent County, Virginia

## Parish Register 1680-1787

This terribly hard-to-read record lists the names of three of John and Elizabeth Upchurch's children: Daughters Elizabeth, Sarah, and Tryphena

See box at right for transcription.


## John and Elizabeth's Children

Just where their first child was born is unknown. Speculation is that John Jr. was born prior to their move to New Kent County, Virginia, since he wasn't baptized in St. Peter's Parish Church where records show three children were baptized.

Based on parish records listing baptisms of their three daughters, we can venture a guess that will most likely be close to the true and accurate years of their births.

1) John, Jr., II
b. bef 1701
d. August 10, 1713
2) Elizabeth
b. abt 1701 New Kent Co., Virginia
3) Sarah
b. abt 1704 New Kent Co., Virginia
4) Tryphena
b. abt 1707 New Kent Co., Virginia
5) James, III
b. 1724 Brunswick Co. Virginia
d. 1784

The original Parish Record showing the daughters' baptisms is shown at left, and below is a transcription of that document.

## Five Children Total?

The colonial period is well documented as the days of large families. Record after record provides data on families with numerous children, sometimes as many as twelve to fifteen. The long stretch between the fourth and fifth births in John's case gives rise to ponder... were there perhaps other children born during that time? Have they just not been located? Or perhaps died? Another item for our list for further and future research.

## Five children

Kent County, Virginia Parish Register 1680-1787

Page 40

## V

Elizabeth ye Daughter of Jno Urssery \& Mary his wife baptis. ye 29 day of August, 1686.
Mattise a negro boy borne ye 15th day of May belonging to Jno Vaughan, 1684.
Sarah daughter to Jno Vekett bapt ye 23rd of octo., 1687.
Dina a negro girl borne ye 22d day of June belonging to Jo Vaughan, 1692. \& a negro boy named Witt borne ye 25 day of May, 1693. \& a negro girl named betty borne ye 24 day of Aprill, 1696. John sone of Mr Jon. Vaughan borne ye 25 day of Novemb, 1695.
Judith Daut of Amer Via baptiz. the 11 Aprill, 1699.
Sarah Dauter of Willm. Vaughan baptiz. the 27 May, 1694.
Frances Daut of Wille. Vaughan baptiz. the 24 Septemb, 1699.
Abraham son of Abraham Venables baptiz. the 27 Aprill, 1701.
Margaret Dauter of Amer Via baptiz. the 3 Augt, 1701.
William son of Jno Vaughan baptiz. the 2 November, 1701.
Elizabeth Dautr of Jno Upshew baptiz. the 25 December, 1701.
Mary Daut of Amer Via baptiz. ye 27 Febry., 1703/4.
Sarah Daut of Jno Upshew baptiz. ye 15 octo., 1704.
Tryphena Daut of Jno Upshear baptiz. 13th Aprill, 1707.
Phillis a negro girl belonging to Madam Squires Born June ye 13th, 1709.
John son of Richard Scruggs Baptised Augt ye 21, 1709.
Elinor Daughter of Alex. Strange Baptised Jany. ye 14th, 1709.

## HISTORICAL TIDBIT

## St. Peter's Parish Church of 1701 Now Historical Landmark

## St. Peter's Parish

This church has great significance to the Upchurch family in that family records dating back to 1704 have provided information about our third- and fourth-generation Upchurches.

St. Peter's Parish still stands today and holds Sunday services each week. Now a historical landmark, it is located in rural New Kent County, Virginia, thirty miles east of Richmond (see map on opposite page). The parish was established April 29, 1679, by the general court of Virginia. There were formerly two such churches, about three miles apart. To keep them straight, one was called the Upper and one the Lower church.

The Lower Church is the one we know today as St. Peter's Parish Church. Owner of the land was Thomas Jackson who sold the original acre where the church was built. During construction on the church, Mr. Jackson,
... burned brick, hauled cypress shingles from the Chickahominy swamp, burned lime for mortar, and delivered nails to the carpenters. ${ }^{\text {R05057 }}$

The Lower Church and was also tabbed, Broken Back'd church, because it was said to have structural weakness.

In 1704, there was another division of St. Peter's Parish. The area to the east remained St. Peter's Parish, while the area to the west of Matadequin Creek became St. Paul's Parish. That same creek, in 1720 , was the dividing line between New Kent County and Hanover County. St. Peter's Parish was entirely within New Kent County.

St. Peter's had twelve ministers between 1680 and 1700. One of these went so far as to say he got into the very worst parish of Virginia and the most troublesome. ${ }^{\text {R06077c }}$ Coincidentally, it was also during this period that our John Upchurch was associated with this church.

The church survived the ravages of several wars though it was broken, battered, and nearly destroyed. Members of the church have restored it after each ravaging, though even today you can still make out names and units carved into the bricks by several of Sumner's men. ${ }^{\text {R05057 }}$

## President Washington Marries

St. Peter's Parish is said to have been the site for President George Washington's marriage to Martha Dandridge Curtis on January 6, 1759. The church still claims the title of

The First Church of the First First-Lady.


## Change to Episcopal

A formal separation of the church and state, known as disestablishmentarianism, occurred during the American Revolution. St. Peter's Church broke all ties with the Church of England and, eventually evolved as the Episcopal Church, an Americanized version of the Anglican Church.

Information to write this article from several sources including: R05057, R06077a, and R06150

## John Upchurch Helps Build St. Peter's Church

 John was known to have been a member of St. Peter's Parish Church in New Kent County, Virginia, from 1701 to 1713. He was possibly there even longer, for perhaps 15 to 25 years.What is even more cherished about John's association with that church is that he also helped build it! An entry a St. Peter's vestry book shows payment was approved for John Upchurch for his labor. ${ }^{\text {R06137a }}$

> At a vestry held for St. Peter's Parish at the Brick Church ye 27th Octo'r, 1703.


St. Peter's Parish as it
To the Supervisers for Jno. Uppehew $p$ ord'r of Vincent Vaughn, stands today. ${ }^{\text {R07074 }}$

3223 C. C., 4233646

The terminology used in that day and age is quite baffling, so help was enlisted. A New Kent County researcher clarified the entry, describing its meaning:

In 1703, there was construction work being done on the new St. Peter's "brick church" - that work being directed by supervisors. This entry means that John Uppehew [Upchurch] was paid 3646 pounds of tobacco $(3223+423=3646)$ by the supervisors for his work on the church.

He was to be paid by order of [approved by] Vincent Vaughn. In 1700, Vaughn had been hired by the Vestry to doe all the sawyers work on the church, so Uppehew [Upchurch ]was most likely a laborer or sub-contractor to Vaughn. ${ }^{\text {R06141 }}$

The map below shows the location of this historic old church.

## Church Being Used Today

The beautiful church our ancestor John Upchurch, I, worked to build is still standing today and opens it doors each Sunday for church services!

What a thrill to know our ancestor participated in building this beautiful landmark!


HISTORICAL TIDBIT

## New Kent County, Virginia

New Kent was listed in the records of the 1654 General Assembly, the county being named for Kent in England. In 1607, Captain John Smith visited New Kent County where he and his party were greeted by the Indians. They traded him corn for beads.

The county once formed part of Charles River County, one of the eight shires into which the colony of Virginia was divided in 1634.

Today's borders of New Kent were actually formed in 1766 through exchange of land with James City County.

# John paid quit 

rents on 60
acres in New
Kent County,
Virginia

Vestry Book of Saint Peter's Parish, New Kent County, Virginia ${ }^{\text {R06137b }}$

## 1704 John Listed on Quit Rents Roll

When the headright system of giving fifty acres of free land for persons brought into Virginia ended in 1695, a new law took its place.

Colonists could purchase fifty-acre tracts for five shillings and paying Quit Rents to the king. It wasn't until the end of the Revolutionary War that settlers could own the property outright. In 1704, John Upchurch was listed on the Quit Rent rolls for 60 acres. ${ }^{\text {R06135c }}$

| name | Land Held at |
| :--- | :--- |
| Upsherd Jon | Parish of St. Peters and St. Paul, 1704 |

## New Kent County, Virginia

Numerous court records and church records have well established that John Upchurch, I, lived in the vicinity of St. Peter's Church in New Kent County, Virginia, from about 1700-1718.

## John Provides for Bastard Child

Our John Upchurch was a religious man and his path is quite easy to follow through records of the church in New Kent County, Virginia.

Not only did he assist in building the church, he also attended it as evidenced by the baptisms of his daughters. He also must have been a good Samaritan as there are a couple references in church records establishing John as a good and caring man who undertook the responsibility of caring for a child not his own. The next two entries give a good insight as to John as a person.

We don't know the circumstances leading to his taking in orphans or bastard children as there has been nothing, to my knowledge, passed down through the generations on this subject.

## Page 40

At a vestry held for St. Peter's p'sh in New Kent County ye 15 octob'r 1705
[payment was made in pounds of tobacco]
St. Peter's Parish
Dr.
To Rich'd Scruggs pacc't Regulated, 545 Ca., 44589
To Jno Hilton for keeping widd. Ashile, 5 mo. 450
To Geo. Austin his acc't Regulated 749
To Wm. Hughes p acc't 300
To Capt. Richard Littlepage p acc't 30
To Edw'd Morgan p acc't $585 \mathrm{Ca} ., 68923$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { To Jn Upshere pacc't \& keeping a bastard child } 12 \text { Mo } \\ \text { at ye } 12 \text { of Nov'r \& Cloaths Ca } 1000 \text { D }^{\circ} \mathbf{8 0} & \mathbf{1 2 8 0}\end{array}$
To Capt. Rich'd Littlepage p acc't, 1200 Ca., 1000 Do, 80125
Note: There were additional entries made; however, they have been omitted to fit format of this book.

1705: This first entry tells us that John has been keeping a child, and the church is paying him 1280 pounds of tobacco for that service.

October 11, 1706, John Upchurch is Keeping a P'ish Child
An entry similar to the last one is shown below.
Possibly the child in the first listing is the same child mentioned in this second entry. That all leads to a question as to why John would have been housing and feeding a child not related to him. John would have only been about 28 years of age at this time, and he already had two or three children of his own.

John had no sisters who could have had an illegitimate child - at least we know of no sisters. Perhaps then it was one of his wife's relatives or even a friend of the family. Bearing a child out of wedlock was considered a great embarrassment to the family, a great shame, and often that child was sent to a faraway relative in hopes of keeping its birth a secret. Perhaps that is what has happened, or it could have been another parishioner's child or relative. It's hard to know.

Since the church is paying for John to take care of this child, the child is likely one the church has agreed to take in and assume the responsibility of raising. There are several possibilities but nothing to guide us as to this child's family history. Another interesting conundrum!
At a Vestry held for St. Peter's P'ish New Kent County, October ye 11th 1706.
[payment was made in pounds of tobacco]
St. Peter's Parish ..... Dr.
To Mr. Rich'd Squire, min'r, for 1 year ..... 16000
To C. C., for Do ..... 240
To Wm. Norris, Cl'k of the Church. \& Vestry ..... 1300
To C. C., to Do ..... 182
To Jno. Hilton, Sexton, for 1 year ..... 570
To Wid'o Austin for keeping Wid. Ashley $1 / 2$ year, Ca ..... 540
To Jno. Hilton's acc't ..... 228
To Do. acc't for keeping \& burying, Mary Wilkinson ..... 400
To Do. acc't for fetching \& Carrying ye Plate from Mr. Poindexter ..... 100
To Rich'd Scruggs for keeping a sick child $1 / 2$ year Ca ..... 540
To Tho. Hughes' acc't regulated ..... 200
To Capt. John Scott's acc't ..... 290
To Mr. Walker for keeping Fem'e Berass from ye parish ..... 100
To Jno. Upshear for keeping a p'ish child 1 year ..... 1188
To Tho. Wm's for keeping wid'o faulkner us. p ..... 1080
To Peter Lespleete for keeping \& burying El. Tomson ..... 200 ..... 114
To Rich'd Harvey for service to ye Church

[^0]Vestry Book
Saint Peter's Church
New Kent County, Virginia ${ }^{\text {R06137c }}$

We've no idea what type of house our John Upchurch and his family had. The one below is similar to those of Colonial Days


John Jr. died at young age

## John Upchurch II

 died August 10, 1713
## 1713, John, Jr. Died August 10, Not April 13

In the document below, it's easy to make out the correct date of John Jr.'s death:

## John Upshiere Jnr Departed this Life August ye $10^{d} 1713$.

John Upchurch, I, lost his son in 1713 as noted in the Parish Register 1680-1787 for St. Peter's Church of New Kent County, Virginia. Young John Jr. would have been somewhere around 12 to 14 years of age at the time of his death.

Belle Lewter West gives John Jr.'s death date as April 13th. Apparently she mistakenly wrote down the month and day for the entry just above John Jr.'s, it being the baptism of Trypena, John Jr.'s sister. ${ }^{\text {R06134bb }}$

Trypena, daut, of Jno Upshear, bapt. 13 April 1709 John Upshiere, Jr. departed this life ye 13 April 1713

In Olive Morgan's book, she lists the date of death for John Jr. simply as
The death of his son was recorded in 1713. ${ }^{\mathrm{R} 06613 \mathrm{r}}$
It's uncertain just where John Upchurch, Jr. was buried. Perhaps he lies in the St. Peter's Church cemetery, however, no record of where he was interred could be found.

Parish Register 1680-1787

## St. Peter's Church

New Kent County, Virginia,

Transcribed by Mae D. Cox

V 99
Sara wife to Abraham Venable deceased ye $13^{d}$ of Febru ------- 1687/8
Isaac son to Abrm Venable deceascd ye $13{ }^{\text {d }}$ Febru --------------- $1687 / 8$
$\mathrm{Jn}^{\circ}$ Ussory deceased ye $7^{\mathrm{d}}$ ffebruary 1687/8
Nohome daugh to Amar Viah deceased ye $26^{\text {d }}$ of March ----------- 1688
John Upshiere Jnr Departed this Life August ye 10d.............. 1713
William Vaughan Departed this Life March 16
1719
Jno Vaughan Dyed at Mr. Poindexter Jan ${ }^{\text {ry }} 20^{\text {th }}$--------------------1724/5
Elizabeth Vaiden Departed this Life 7ber ye 23d ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 1730

## 1717: John is recorded as paying a levy in New Kent County: <br> to Capt. Scott for Upshears levy last year 53 lbs of tobacco. ${ }^{\text {R06135d }}$

1718: After the entry just above, there is no further mention of John being in New Kent County, and it's presumed he left there about 1718, moving back to Surry County, south across the James River. John was in New Kent County approximately seventeen years or more.

July 19, 1721: This Surry County record shows John took the inventory
... and Appraisement of the estate of William Hunnicutt ${ }^{\mathrm{R06134g}}$

1724: Brunswick County, Virginia; last of John's children, James III, was born.

February 9, 1736: John witnessed the will of John Vaughn in Isle of Wight County. The will was recorded over five years later on July 27,1741. ${ }^{\text {R066134h }}$

January 12, 1746: Isle of Wight County, John granted 100 acres of land for ten shillings:
... on the SW side of the Great Swamp, formerly Heath's ... ${ }^{\text {R06 }} 134 \mathrm{i}$
The actual two-page record, followed by a transcription are on the next three pages are the actual document and a transcription.

## 1746 Document

## on next three

pages

## HISTORICALTIDBIT

## Chains and Poles - Surveying Land Tracts

During the early days of our country, chains were used to determine the size of a tract or parcel of land. This archaic system measured from points such as an Oak tree or post to a Blackjack Tree on an adjoining person's land. Two men carried the chains and tallied up the number of chains between the two points, then converted that to Poles.

In the 1600 s a surveyor's chain with 100 links, measured 66 feet ( 22 yards or 4 poles) overall. It was made of 100 thin iron links measuring 7.92" each. Many Virginia surveyors used a half chain, measuring 33 feet, as it was easier to pull through the brush. By the mid-1700s, chains were made of steel, with round handles at either end, brass tallies every 10 links, and swivels every 25 links.

Being a chain-carrier, chainman, or chain-bearer required no particular skill that couldn't be taught in about 15 minutes. The owner of the land being surveyed often had the opportunity to appoint his own chainmen to work for the county surveyor, which kind of served as a "check and balance."

Many land records and survey records note the names of those who carried the chains while the land was being surveyed. You'll note several are mentioned in this book.

1746 John Upchurch purchased land in Isle of Wight County 100 acres for Ten Shillings!

Section of the Index showing John Upchurch's purchase of 100 acres, and that the record is located on
page 611. ${ }^{\text {R0700 }}$

Bottom portion of page 611. ${ }^{\text {R07008 }}$
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## William lyoock









[p.] 611

Jno Upchurch\} 100 \}

George the second by the Grace of God of Great Britain France and Ireland King
Defender of the Faith \&c. To all to whom these Presents shall Come Greeting Know ye that for divers good Causes and Considerations but more especially, for and in Consideration of the sum of Ten shillings of good and lawful money for our use paid to our Receiver General of our Revenues in this our Colony and Dominion of Virginia We have Given Granted and Confirmed and by these Presents for us our Heirs and Successors do give grant and Confirm unto John Upchurch one certain Tract or Parcel of Land containing one hundred Acres lying and being in the County of Isle of Wight on the South West side of the great Swamp and bounded as followeth to wit Beginning at a Maple by the side of the said Swamp a Corner of William Heaths Land thence by Heaths line South West by South one hundred and twelve Poles to a black Oak then North seventy Degrees West one hundred thirty six Poles to a Lightwood Post then North twenty five Degrees East ninety Poles to a Pine by the side of the great Swamp aforesaid and down the Various Courses of the run of the said Swamp to the $\sim$ Beginning, With all Woods Underwoods Swamps Marshes Lowgrounds Meadows Feedings and his due share of all Veins Mines and Quarries as well discovered as not discovered within the Bounds aforesaid and being part of the Said Quantity of one hundred Acres of Land and the Rivers Waters and Water Courses

[^1]Ex.d
therein Contained together with the Privileges of Hunting Hawking Fishing Fowling and all other Profits Commodities and Hereditaments whatsoever to the same or any part thereof belonging or in any wise appertaining To have hold, Possess and enjoy the said Tract or Parcel of Land and all other the before granted Premises and every part thereof with their and every of their Appurtenances unto the said John Upchurch and to his Heirs and Assigns for ever. To the only use and behoof of him the said John Upchurch his Heirs and Assigns for ever To be held of us our Heirs and Successors as of our Manor of East Greenwich in the County of Kent in free and Common Soccage and not in Capite or by Knights Service Yielding and Paying unto us our Heirs and Successors for every Fifty Acres of Land and so proportionably for a Lesser or Greater Quantity than Fifty Acres the Fee Rent of one Shilling Yearly to be paid on the Feast of Saint Michael the Arch Angel and also Cultivating and Improving three Acres part of every Fifty of the Tract abovementioned within three years after the date of these Presents ~ Provided always that if three years of the said Fee Rent shall at any time be in Arrear and unpaid or if the said John Upchurch his Heirs or Assigns do not within the space of three years next coming after the date of these Presents Cultivate and ~ Improve three Acres part of every Fifty of the Tract abovementioned then the Estate hereby granted shall Cease and be Utterly determined and thereafter it shall and may be lawful to and for us our Heirs and Successors to grant the same Lands and premises with the Appurtenances unto such other Person or Persons as we our Heirs and Successors shall think fit In Witness whereof we have caused these our Letters Patent to be made Witness Our Trusty and Welbeloved William William [sic] GOOCH Esq. Our Lieut. Governor and Commander in Chief of our said Colony and Dominion at Williamsburg under the Seal of our said Colony the Twelfth day of January One Thousand seven hundred and forty six In the Twentieth year of our Reign

William GOOCH~

## HISTORICALTIDBIT

## Southampton County, Virginia

Southampton County was originally part of Warrasquoyocke, one of the eight shires making up the Colony of Virginia. The shire was renamed Isle of Wight in 1637.

In 1749 the portion of Isle of Wight west of the Blackwater River became Southampton County. Later, part of Nansemond County, now the City of Suffolk, was added to Southampton.

The county was thought to have been named for the borough of Southampton in England.


## Part of Isle of Wight County, now Southampton County

The land John purchased in 1746 was in Isle of Wight County, Virginia. When part of that county was taken to form Southampton County in 1749, John's land then fell into Southampton County. The maps below show the various counties at specific times.

## John Upchurch Sells Land Purchased in 1746

The land John and Elizabeth purchased in 1746 now lay within the county of Southampton when they decided to sell it three years later.

July 12, 1749: John and Elizabeth sold their 100 acres to Ambrose Grisard for $£ 15$ S5. The land (see document on next page) was described as:
... 100 acres on the north side of Three Creeks adj. the southwest side of Great Swamp and William Heath. ${ }^{\text {R08019 }}$

Both John and his wife, Elizabeth, signed by making their mark (X).
The record and a transcription are presented on the next three pages.

## HISTORICAL TIDBIT

## Life Easier in the Colonies

By the mid-to late-1600s, Surry County, Virginia was well established. The terrible days of constant hunger, inadequate shelter, fatal plagues, inept management and other hardships that made early-Colony years a time of sheer horror, were practically over.

Not only was Virginia self-supporting, but with tobacco she had found a crop by which fortunes could be made. Plantations were privately owned, and produced tobacco along with a plentitude of cattle and other farm critters.

Slaves consisted of both Negroes and Indians, plus some indentured servants were also working off their passage.
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## Transcription of

 John Upchurch's sale of 100 acres in 1749 .R08019
[Lower portion of page 11]

Upchurch \&
UX
to Grisard.

This Indenture Made this twelfth day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and forty nine between John Upchurch and Elizabeth his wife of the County of Southampton of the one part and Ambrose Grisard of the County aforesaid of the other part Witnesseth that the said John Upchurch and Elizabeth his wife for and in Consideration of the Sum of thirty two Pounds five Shillings Current Money of Virginia to them in hand paid by the said Ambrose Grisard before the Sealing and Delivery hereof the Receipt whereof the said John Upchurch and Elizabeth his wife Doth acknowledge Hath Granted Bargained \& Sold and Doth by these Presents Grant Bargain \& Sell unto the said Ambrose Grisard his Heirs and Assigns for ever a Certain Tract or Parcel of land lying and being in Southampton County aforesaid and on the North Side the three Creeks Containing by Estimation one
[p.] 12
hundred Acres be the Same more or less and lying on the South West Side the Great Swamp and bounded as followeth , _ Beginning at a Maple by the side of the said Swamp a Corner of William Heaths Land and thence by Heaths Line South West by South one hundred and twelve Poles to a black Oak Then North Seventy Degrees West one hundred thirty Six Poles to a lightwood Post then North twenty five Degrees East ninety Poles to a Pine by the side of the great Swamp aforesaid and down the Various Courses of the Run of the said Swamp to the beginning and the Reversion and Reversions Remainder and Remainders Rents Issues and profits thereof and also all the Estate Right Title Interest Claim and demand whatsoever of them the said John Upchurch and Elizabeth his wife and their Heirs of in or to the Same or any part thereof To Have and to hold the said Land and Premises with all and Singular the Appurtenances thereunto belonging unto the said Ambrose Grisard his Heirs and Assigns forever and the said John Upchurch and Elizabeth his wife for ~ themselves their Heirs Executors Adm'ors doth Covenant Promise and grant to and with the said Ambrose Grisard his Heirs and Assigns by these Presents that they the said John ~ Upchurch and Elizabeth his wife the said Land and premises ~ and every part and parcell thereof unto the said Ambrose Grisard his Heirs and Assigns against the Lawful Claim Title and ~ Demand of the said John Upchurch and Elizabeth his wife and their Heirs and all and every other Person and Persons whatsoever will warrant and forever defend by these Presents ~
In Witness whereof the said John Upchurch and Elizabeth his wife hath hereunto Set his Hands and affixed their Seals this Day and Year first above written
his
Signed Sealed \&Delivered John /+/ Upchurch Ls.
in Presence of [mark is horizontal, crossed I] Mark her
James Ridley
Timothy Tharp his
Burwell X Atkinson Mark

Upper portion of page 13


Memorandum that on the 15th Day of July MDCCCXLiX Quiet and Peaceable Possession and Seisen of the within mentioned Land and Premises was delivered by the within named John Upchurch and Elizabeth his wife to the within named Ambrose Grisard according to the form and effect of the within written Deed
his
in Presence of
John /+/ Upchurch Ls.
James Ridley
Timothy Tharp
his
Burwell X Atkinson
mark
At A Court held for Southampton County on Thursday the 10th Day of August 1749.
This Indenture \& Memorandum were proved by the Oaths of the Witnesses thereto and are by the Court Ordered to be Recorded Test. R Kello Clk_

It looks like the Upchurches continued to live on the land according to this next item. Or perhaps when the land in this next item was granted, they didn't realize the land was no longer owned by John.

One Upchurch researcher says that John Upchurch arrived in Brunswick County after selling this land in 1749. ${ }^{\text {R06098a }}$

## 41750

Joseph Tharp land purchase that mentions John Upchurch

R07009


## John Mentioned in Land Record

June 1, 1750: Joseph Tharp purchased 740 acres of land in Isle of Wight County, Virginia. The tract adjoined John Upchurch's property. This is a two-page document but only the first page is being presented here... the page having to do with our Upchurch. The transcription on the next page does include the whole recording.

Wording is as in original document, lineation by transcriber to fit book format. Transcribed by Mae D. Cox

## Page 181

Jo Tharp 740
George the second by the Grace of God of Great Britain France and Ireland King Defender of the Faith \& To all to whome these presents shall come Greetings Know ye that for divers good Cause and Considerations but more especially for and in Consideration of the Sum of Three Pounds of good and lawful money for our Uses paid to our Receiver Generat of our Revenues in this our Colony and dominion of Virginia We Have given granted and confirmed and by these presents for us our Heirs and Successors do give grant and confirm unto Joseph Tharp one certain Tract or Parcel of Land containing seven hundred and forty Acres lying and being in the County of Isle of Wight on the North Side of the Three Creek and bounded as followeth to wit, Beginning at an Elm by the Side of the said Creeks then South seventeen Degrees East fifty two Poles to a Pine Then North thirty four Poles to a Pine Then North thirty five Degrees East eighteen Poles to a redOak Then North forty six Poles to three Trees choped innards a Corner of John Morgan's Land Thence by the said Morgan's Line North sixty Degrees East ninety eight Poles to a Pine Thence north forty Degrees East one hundred and sixteen Poles to a Gum on John Rollings's Line Thence by the said Rollings's Line North eighty five Degrees East one hundred and fifty four Poles to a live Oak by the side of the Great Swamp Thence down the various courses of the Run of the said Swamp to a Pine Thence South twenty five Degrees West eighty six Poles to a Lighwood Post a Corner of John Upchurch's Land thence by the said Upchurch's Line South seventy Degrees East one hundred and thirty six Poles to a black Oak a corner of William Heath's Land Thence by the said Heath's Line South East by South one hundred and sixty Poles to a white Oak a Corner of Thomas Griffis's Land Thence by the said Griffis's Line South seventy five Degrees West eighty six Poles to a white Oak by the Side of the three Creeks~ aforesaid and up the various courses of the Run of the said Creeks to the begining one hundred and eighty five Acres Part thereof being formerly granted unto $\sim$ Charles Stewart by our Letters Patent being Date the twenty second Day of ~ September one thousand seven hundred and thirty nine and by divers meane Conveyances the Right and Title thereof is since become vested in the said Joseph Tharp and five hundred and fifty five Acres the Reside never before granted ~ With All Woods Underwoods Swamps Marshes Lowgrounds Meadows Feedings and his due Share of all Veins Mines and Quarries as well discovered as not discovered within the bounds aforesaid and being Part of the said Quantity of seven hundred and forty Acres of Land and the Rivers Waters and Water Courses therein contained together with the Privileges of Hunting Hawking Fishing Fowling and with other Profits Commodities and Hereitaments whatsoever as the same or any part thereof belonging or in anywise pertaining To have hold possess and enjoy the said Tract or Parcel of Land and all other the beforegranted Premises and every Part thereof

## Page 182

with their and every of their appurtenances unto the said Joseph Tharp and to his heirs and Assignes forever to the only use and Behoof of him the said Joseph Tharp his Heirs and Assigns forever To be held of us our Heirs and Successors as of our Mannor of East Greenwich in the County of Kent in free and common Soccage and not in Capitearby(s?) Knight's Service Yielding and Paying unto us our Heirs and Successors for every fifty Acres of Land and so proportionably for a lesser or greater Quantity than fifty Acres the Fee Rent of one Shilling yearly to be paid upon the Feast of Saint Michael the Arch Angel and also cultivating and improving three Acres Part of every fifty of the Tract abovementioned within three years after the Date of these Presents / excepting for so much of the said Land hath been already cultivated and improved according to the Condition of the said former Patent / Provided always that if three years of the said Fee Rent shall at any Time be in Arrear and unpaid or if the said Joseph Tharp his Heirs of Assigns do not within the Space of three yeares next coming after the Date of these Presents cultivate and improve three Acres part of every fifty of the Tract abovementioned except as before is excepted, then the Estate hereby granted shall cease and be utterly determined and thereafter it shall and may be lawful to and for us our Heirs and Successors to grant the same Lands and Premises with the Appurtenances unto such other Person or Persons as we our Heirs and Successors shall think fit In ~ Witness whereof we have caused these our Letters Patent to be made ~ Witness our Trusty and Welbeloved Thomas Lee Esq President of our Council and Commander in Chief of our said colony and Dominion at Williamsburgh under the Seal of our said Colony the first Day of June One thousand seven hundred and fifty In the twenty third year of our Reign.
Examd
Thomas Lee P

## HISTORICALTIDBIT

## Grubbing: Clearing the Land Was Hard Work!

Grubbing v. To dig up and remove all plants, roots and stems, in order to clear the land for planting or other use. To dig in the earth. To dig up by or as if by the roots. To toil arduously, drudge.

Today we think of clearing a field as being done by earthmovers and dynamite. In colonial days though, the task was called grubbing meaning to dig out stumps of trees and bushes when clearing land for planting. This was dangerous work and according to one historian, resulted in the eighty percent fatality of transportees to Virginia within a year after their arrival from England. ${ }^{\text {R06031 }}$

So the life of a farmer wasn't the easiest occupation during these early days of our country. Yet over ninety percent of the colonists were in that very profession. Sometimes the farmer would be lucky in that the land had previously belonged to some of the Indian inhabitants and they would have cleared parts of the land. Most likely though the land would be virgin territory - wilderness.

Most farmers didn't have the luxury of slaves or indentured servants to perform this task, they had to rely on themselves and their children to do the backbreaking work.

Trees had to be felled and removed. Some trees were kept for the lumber to build needed
barns or other structures; the rest was burned or piled to the side. Work of this type was quite slow and felling a single large tree could take two men most of the day. Then came the task of removing the stumps. Smaller tree stumps could be chopped, dug out, or pulled out with a team of oxen. Larger stumps were often burned, or just left to wither over several years before they could be removed.

Brush and prairie grasses had to be manually dug out. Debris and stones were removed. Once the land was cleared the work began to prepare the soil for planting. This too was no easy task as this was land that had never been touched and was frequently packed hard and tight. The farmer using a team of mules drug simple plows through the fields turning the soil over. They then went back through it again and again digging deeper each time and breaking large chunks apart.

Once the clods had been broken into smaller pieces or reduced to just dirt, another implement was drug across the soil leveling it out. Now they were ready to begin planting!

## John, The Defendant

John was about 73 years of age when, beginning in 1751, the first of many court records list John as a defendant. It appears that as he reached his golden years, his financial status had deteriorated. The following are recorded in Brunswick County, Virginia: ${ }^{\text {R06076e }}$

March 1751: John Upchurch was sued for a debt.
September 1753: Court: John Upchurch, defendant, was ordered to pay William Clinch a sum, returnable next court.

November 1753: Court: John Upchurch is defendant in a suit filed against him by William Clinch, William Merriott, and Thomas Merriott.

January 1754: Court ordered John Upchurch to pay the plaintiffs one shilling and eleven pence.

February 1754: John Upchurch, defendant, in suit by Theophilus Field.
May 1754: John Upchurch had a judgment ordered against him.

1755-1756: John Upchurch is sued by John Maclin.
April 1756: John Upchurch is defendant in an action brought by John Maclin. Apparently John is deeply in debt by now, and is also quite elderly at 78 years of age. He is being represented by James Upchurch; presumably this is John's son who also lived in Brunswick County. James stated under oath that he was holding possessions of John Upchurch: ${ }^{\text {R06076c }}$

Three bottles, one old pair of hames, one old razor and a spoon.
According to the dictionary, a pair of hames consists of curved metal pieces lying on the collar of a draught horse or other working animal to which the traces (straps, ropes, or chains) are attached.

The bottles are a mystery. What type of bottle would have been considered to be of any value?

John mentioned
in son's land purchase

## John Upchurch is

 now in his 70s!one pair of
hames, one old
razor, and a
spoon.

The court directed the sheriff to sell John's few items attempting to recover
... ten pounds six shillings and one-half penny for damages sustained by the plaintiff John Maclin. ${ }^{\text {R06076e }}$

The whole thing is quite sad, and what a humiliating experience that had to be. Poor old fellow had to give up his razor and spoon - and those were basically his only possessions!

Elizabeth and

John haven't been found

John lived in<br>several Virginia counties:

Surry County,
New Kent County, Isle of Wight Co. Southampton Co.

## Deaths of John Upchurch, I, and Wife Elizabeth

There is very little mention of Elizabeth Upchurch through all the records. This is not an uncommon occurrence as often in this day and age, a wife was seldom mentioned in land transactions or other legal records. The last mention of Elizabeth was in the 1749 land sale to the Tharps where she is listed as John's wife. Possibly she died before John did. This is based on the premise that John's worldly goods, in 1756, were so scanty ... and were she still living, perhaps they would have had a home with other possessions.

John I, died in Brunswick County, Virginia, in 1758 at 80 years of age. A search of various cemetery records turned up no mention of John's gravesite in any of the areas where he was known to have lived.

Most likely there was no Last Will and Testament for John since just three years before his death his possessions consisted of four measly items that were confiscated and sold.

## Summary on John Upchurch, I

John's life began simply enough. He was born and raised in Surry County, Virginia. He married at least once and perhaps twice. Leaving his homeplace, he took his family to New Kent County, Virginia, where he lived for about seventeen years. He was a religious man, and he and his family attended St. Peter's Parish Church, which, incidentally, he helped build. John's three daughters were baptized at the church, and in 1713 tragedy struck: his son John Jr. died. John left New Kent County about 1718 and we lose track of him for a few years.

The next glimpse of John comes when, in 1721, he inventories an estate in Surry County. It's believed his last child, son James III, was born in Brunswick County, Virginia, in 1724. John disappears until 1741 when he witnesses a will in Surry County.

Five years later he buys land in Isle of Wight County which spins off to become Southampton County. John sells that land in 1749, and the following year is mentioned in another land record; this time in Isle of Wight County. The counties of Southampton, Isle of Wight and Brunswick are closely entwined as their borders were reshaped resulting in John being shown in different counties at given periods though he was on the same tract of land.

It is thought that John actually lived in Brunswick County as early as 1751, the year his legal problems began. These problems continued through 1756.

There is still so much missing on John Upchurch, I, and so many questions yet to be answered. Was John actually married a first time to someone named Eleanor, and what is her last name? In what counties were his first and last children born?

When exactly did John leave Surry County? New Kent County? Isle of Wight County? What was his profession? Farmer? Where is John buried?

Hopefully another researcher will feel the call and pursue this endeavor.


[^0]:    Note: There were additional entries; however, they have been omitted to fit book format.

[^1]:    [p.] 612

