

See Email 26 JAN 2010^d Mal France Davenport @ Ray NWN White, J in her profile and his response of 26 JAN 2010 in his profile

Re: the following array of people:

- △ I. Michael U, I - 1624-1681
- △ A. Michael U, II. 1667-1697
- FROM △ B. Richard U, I 1658-1700
- RPU △ 1. John U, I 1678-1758
- CHART △ 2. William U, I
- △ 3. James U, I 1685-1765

Mae has paragraphs A-G in her email some of which are produced below. In P D, E, F shown below she reports that new evidence shows Michael U, II to be between 10 JUN 1662 and 10 JUN 1663 - earlier than West reports - Based on

D Now comes the interesting info.... on the 1679 Tithable record for Lawne's Creek Parish of Surry County, Virginia, Michael Upchurch is listed.... and SO IS HIS SON, MICHAEL. I have a copy of the actual record in my hand as I speak... and it's quite clear and easy to read: "Michael Upchurch & Michael his Son..... 2"

E This really excites me. Now we can see that he'd reached 16 by 1679, making him born after June 10th, 1662 and before June 10th, 1663.

F Could it possibly be that since Michael II was born earlier than thought, that he might be the father of John, William, and James, instead of Richard (abt. 1658-1700)? When we thought he wasn't old enough to have fathered John (born 1678), it made sense that Richard was the father. But now we can see that Michael II would have been abt 17 years of age.

G I've never really studied the two sons of Michael (1624-1681) so I'm not sure of the validity of whether Richard Upchurch (1658-1700) or now this son Michael would have been the father of John, William, and James.
What do you think?

C First though, let me give you this from Belle Lewter West's book:
Michael Upchurch II, in Surry Co., Va., seems to have been the youngest son of Michael and Frances UPCHURCH. He was first mentioned in records as a tithable at Lawne's Creek Parish Church by his mother, FRANCES, on the 12th of June 1683, indicating that he had just reached the age of sixteen....

So we've all used that information about Michael II as nothing else had ever surfaced to make us think differently. Ms. West's findings suggested that Michael II was born about 1667.

X. This is absolutely fascinating, and you could be onto something really significant. It will need to be thought out, but Michael II would've been really young, still. I notice that John apparently had a daughter, Elizabeth, born 25 Dec 1701 - which (usually) would mean having been born ca 1670-1675, and there were at least two boys, John Jr. and James, for whom I do not have a birthdate, and if they were before Elizabeth, then John's marriage might have been a little earlier (or not). In any event, this would imply that Michael II would need to have been born not much later than 1650ish.

this she asks if Michael U, II could be the Father of John U, I, W M U, I & James U, I (see G) - In C Mae shows that West straight Michael U, II to abt 1667 - Roy's response in green in X

Γ RPU COMMENTS:
I will agree that the dates for the first 4 generations need to be revisited and any new data analysed with changes in parentage if needed. However, I agree with Roy that even if Michael U, II

was born 1662 he would have been only about 16 when John U, I was born in 1776 - hence not likely father & son. NOTE: Mae is writing a book on Michael U, I RPU CHART

have been only about 16 when John U, I was born in 1776 - hence not likely father & son. NOTE: Mae is writing a book on Michael U, I RPU CHART

WILLIAM

U, I

XID-3343

SEE LTR 19 OCT 2001 JOHN READ U TO RPU RE A 13 ITEM REPORT OF NANCY CARTER MOORE RE BRUNSWICK CO, VA RECORDS POSSIBLY BEARING UPON JOSEPH U D 1775 (VA) - RPU COMMENTS:

3 From wills and deeds, I know that Michael Upchurch lived on the south side of Eaton's Road in 1784, near Middle Run. His neighbors were Valentine White, Thomas Parham, Lewis Dupree, Thomas, Isaac, and Benjamin Mosely. MICHAEL U, III XID-159 HIS LOCATION & NEIGHBORS 1784

6 By 1777, Michael/Myal Upchurch's neighbors were BARTHOLOMEW UPCHURCH, Matthew Rainey, Thomas Bracy, and Allen Love. This deed is from "Daniel Burnet and his wife Elizabeth of CHATHAM Co. NORTH CAROLINA to Thomas Smith of Chatham County, N.C.," and it was land already lived on by Joyce Burnet, at the head of Poplar Creek. MICHAEL U, III XID-159 BARTHOLOMEW U IS NEW !! NEED DEED TO VERIFY THIS NEW UPCHURCH FAMILY MEMBER - LOCATION 1777

7 Another 1777 deed of gift from William Brewer and is wife Mary to John Brewer is for "518 acres which was William Brewer's dec'd plantation, on the south side of Rattle Snake Creek joining William Jones, Henry Ledbetter, UPCHURCH'S BRANCH, and James UPCHURCH. JAMES U IS PROBABLY JAMES U, III AS HIS BIRTH WAS OTHER REFERENCES TO RATTLE SNAKE CREEK & RATTLE SNAKE CHURCH; HOWEVER CANNOT YET RULE OUT HIS 1ST COUSIN JAMES U, II WHO LIVED IN THE SAME ERA & AREA

9 There was also a THOMAS UPCHURCH in Brunswick in 1776, as he is a witness to the will of Thomas Lambert. THIS THOMAS U IS NEW COPY OF WILL TO VERIFY DETAILS. TO RPU RECORDS, NEED WITNESS A WILL IN 1776 HE COULD HAVE BEEN THE FATHER OF JOSEPH U D 1775 !! THOMAS PROBABLY AT LEAST 21 IN 1776 HENCE BORN 1754 OR BEFORE

10 Everybody over 16 had to do road work in the 1700s in VA, or have a slave do it. Some of these records have survived, and on September 7, 1738, JAMES UPCHURCH and WILLIAM UPCHURCH were removed from Francis Wray's road crew and placed on William Mosely's road crew. So, WOW, these Upchurches were there early enough to be Joseph's father, that is for sure! In Brunswick County Court records, William was added to a list of tithables in 1736, SO THIS IS WHEN HE BECAME 21. THIS INFO IS NEW TO RPU, BASED ON AGES THIS JAMES U IS ALMOST CERTAINLY JAMES U, I XID-151

ALTHOUGH THERE IS SOME CHANCE IT COULD BE HIS SON JAMES U, II XID-154. THIS WILLIAM U IS A GOOD FIT TO BE WILLIAM U, I XID-3343, A BROTHER OF JAMES U, I - XID-151

12 In a November pre-election (of burgesses) in Brunswick, the only Upchurches on the list were JAMES UPCHURCH Sr. and MICHAEL UPCHURCH. THIS REFERENCE IS NOT DATED BUT ANOTHER CITATION IN RPU SHOWS JAMES U REGISTERED TO VOTE FOR BURGESSES IN 1748 - PROBABLY ALL THE SAME HENCE JAMES U, SR = JAMES U, I (SR) XID-151 & MICHAEL U = MICHAEL U, III XID-159

NOTE: NANCY WRITES IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO FIND JOSEPH U D 1775 LISTED IN SOME OF THESE VA RECORDS - THIS IS POSSIBLE BUT NOT LIKELY AS HE LEFT VA AS A TEENAGER OR YOUNG MAN - RPU

COLONIAL U.

WILLIAM U I

XID-3343

- ① See notes of RPU 31 DEC 1978 Visit to William Kendrick U.S. 2044
His files show that Richard U XID-149, son of Michael U,
had 3 children. The first two - John & James (XID-150, 151)
I had on my charts. The third William U (XID-3343) was
new to me although ~~he~~ he may be in other notes of
mine and not on the Master Colonial U Chart - RPU

○ KINFOLKS OF GRANVILLE CO, NC 1765-1826
 By Zae Hargett Guyon. Pub by WATSON - 1974
 Abstracted by RPA 5 DEC 2001 in Zebulon, NC
 February. [Conserv Deed Books H-2, 1, 2, Tax List 1769]

- A • PG 193 (423) Prusilla Fuller, deed of gift to Israel Bayley, Law Jackson & John U 9 SEP 1815 [JOHN U, IV]
- B • PG 58 (263) William U, Sr of Wilkes Co, NC deed to William U, Jr of Granville Co, NC 26 AUG 1782
- C • PG 173 (196) William U of Wake Co, NC - deed to Young Montague 8 MAR 1811
- D • PG 230 (227) William U of Wake Co, NC deed to Granville Co, NC resident Garland Allen 8 MAR 1811
- E • Tax List 1769 - Granville Co, NC - Pg 280
 William U - 1 white P.
 William U, Jr - 1 white P.

RPA NOTES:

- A. John U = clearly John U, IV
- B. William U, Sr = clearly William U IV and he did have a son (JR?) William U (XFD-2063) and there is no reason to doubt that XFD-2063 = the William U who rec'd land in 1782 in Granville Co, NC
- C + D. For Present = the William U of Wake Co, NC 1800-1811 who was formerly of Granville Co, NC
- E. There are several prospects for these two William U, Jr's:
- William U (not Jr) - could be William U, IV on his way to Wilkes Co, NC; William U II b 1735; or (less likely) William U, I b 1715
 - William U, Jr - could be William U (XFD-2063), son of William U, IV; William U, II b 1735 being JR to his Uncle William U, I
 - Neither William is William U, III who was born after 1769